

Dwork's theorem on the zeta-functions of affine hypersurfaces

András Földesi

2026

Definition

Let X be an affine hypersurface defined by the polynomial f in n variables with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q . Define N_s as the number of points in X over \mathbb{F}_{q^s} . With these notations the zeta-function of X is:

$$\zeta(X/\mathbb{F}_q, t) = \exp\left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_s \frac{t^s}{s}\right)$$

Theorem 1.0.2

Theorem 1.0.2 (Dwork). The zeta-function of any affine (or projective) hypersurface is a rational function.

Characters as a power series

We use that for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and a p th unit root $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}_p$, if t is the Teichmüller lift of a then:

$$a \mapsto \varepsilon^{\text{Tr} a} = \varepsilon^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_q} t}$$

is a character.

Also $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_q} t = t + t^p + \dots + t^{p^{s-1}}$.

To express this character as a power series in t the binomial expansion would be naive approach, but in \mathbb{C}_p this does not converge on the closed unit disk, so neither does in t .

Characters as a power series

The solution is to use instead of the binomial expansion the following power series:

$$F(x, y) = (1+y)^x (1+y^p)^{(x^p-x)/p} (1+y^{p^2})^{(x^{p^2}-x^p)/p^2} \dots (1+y^{p^n})^{(x^{p^n}-x^{p^{n-1}})/p^n} \dots$$

And for

$$\theta(x) = F(x, \varepsilon - 1)$$

it is easy to see that

$$\varepsilon^{\text{Tr } a} = \varepsilon^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_q} t} = \theta(t)\theta(t^p)\dots\theta(t^{p^s}).$$

The trace formula

Definition

Let R denote the set of formal power series over \mathbb{C}_p in n variables, and U the set of ordered n -tuples of nonnegative integers. Define the following two \mathbb{C}_p -linear transformations on R :

$$L_G(r) = Gr, \text{ where } G \in R,$$

$$r = \sum_{u \in U} a_u x^u \mapsto T_q(r) = \sum_{u \in U} a_{qu} x^u, \text{ where } q \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Then let $\Psi_{q,G} = T_q \circ L_G$.

The trace formula

Definition

$$R_0 = \left\{ G = \sum_{w \in U} g_w x^w \in R : \exists M > 0, \quad \text{ord}_p g_w \geq M|w| \quad \forall w \in U \right\}.$$

Lemma

Let $G \in R_0$. Then $\text{Tr}(\Psi_{q,G}^s)$ converges for $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and

$$(q^s - 1)^n \text{Tr}(\Psi_{q,G}^s) = \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{C}_p^n \\ x^{q^s - 1} = 1}} G(x)G(x^q)\dots G(x^{q^{s-1}}).$$

The determinant

We can extend the notion of $\det(1 - At)$, where t is an indeterminate, to infinite A matrices as a formal power series $\det(1 - At) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m t^m$.

Lemma

If $G(x) = \sum_{w \in U} g_w x^w \in R_0$ and $\Psi = T_q \circ L_G$, so that Ψ has a matrix $A = \{g_{qv-u}\}_{u,v \in U}$, then the series $\det(1 - At)$ is a well-defined power series over \mathbb{C}_p with infinite radius of convergence, and

$$\det(1 - At) = \exp_p \left(- \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(A^s) t^s / s \right).$$

Analytic expression of the zeta function

It is enough to see for N'_s : the number of zeros of f with nonzero coordinates. Here we use that the character derived from the trace of elements in \mathbb{F}_{q^s} is non-trivial, so it is an indicator of whether an element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ is 0:

$$\sum_{x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}^\times} \varepsilon^{\text{Tr}(x_0 u)} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}^\times \\ q^s - 1, & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases}$$

Substituting $u = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ this allow us to calculate:

$$q^s N'_s = (q^s - 1)^n + \sum_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}_p \\ x_0^{q^s-1} = \dots = x_n^{q^s-1} = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^N \Theta([a_i]x^{w_i}) \dots \Theta([a_i]^{p^{rs-1}} x^{p^{rs-1}w_i}).$$

But for a proper G product of some of the Θ terms this equals to:

$$(q^s - 1)^n + \sum_{\substack{x_0, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}_p \\ x_0^{q^s-1} = \dots = x_n^{q^s-1} = 1}} G(x) \dots G(x^{q^s-1}) = (q^s - 1)^n + (q^s - 1)^{n+1} \text{Tr}(\Psi_{q,G}^s).$$

Analytic expression of the zeta function

This gives:

$$\zeta'(X/\mathbb{F}_q, t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{n-i-1}t)^{-1^{i+1} \binom{n}{i}} \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} \det(1 - Aq^{n-i}t)^{-1^{i+1} \binom{n+1}{i}}$$

where A is the matrix of $\Psi_{q,G}$.

The end of the proof is rather technical, mostly linear algebra. It relies on the Weierstrass preparation theorem.