

Eötvös Loránd University

Eötvös Loránd University Faculty of Science Department of Operations Research

Free-rooted packings of arborescences

Author:

Ábel Barabás Applied Mathematics MSc year 2

Advisor:

Csaba Király Egerváry Research Group on Combinatorial Optimization (EGRES) research assistant

2023

1 Introduction

In this report we prove new results about packings of arborescences. An r-arborescence is a directed tree in which each node has an in-degree of 1 except the root note r, which has an in-degree of 0. A packing of subgraphs in a graph means a collection of subgraphs, that are edge-disjoint. The most fundamental result of the study of packings of arborescences is the following result of Edmonds ([4]):

Theorem 1.1. (Weak Edmonds Theorem [4]) Let D = (V, A) a digraph and $r \in V$. There exists a packing of spanning r-arborescences in G if and only if

$$\varrho_A(X) \ge k \text{ for all } \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V - r, \tag{1}$$

where $\rho_A(X)$ denotes the in-degree of X.

This result has been generalized in multiple ways. Durand de Gevigney, Nguyen and Szigeti characterized the existence of matroid-based packings of arborescences in [3], Cs. Király, Szigeti, Tanigawa characterized the existence of matroid-based and matroid-restricted packings of arborescences in [7] and Bérczi and Frank characterized the existence of free-rooted packings of arborescences ([2]): packings, where the roots of the arborescences are not given (for further definitions see later sections).

This report generalizes results on free-rooted packings of arborescences. In section 4 we characterize the existence of free-rooted matroid-based and matroid-restricted packings of arboresences and prove some corollaries. In section 5 we extend a result of Szigeti ([8]) about free-rooted packings of arborescences in mixed graphs. Every proof in this report is original.

2 Definitions

Given a function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ and a finite set $Z \subset S$, let $\tilde{f}(Z) := \sum_{s \in Z} f(s)$. Two subsets $X, Y \subseteq S$ are **intersecting**, if $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. A set function b on the ground set S is **subcardinal**, if $b(X) \leq |X|$ for all $X \subseteq S$, **submodular**, if

$$b(X) + b(Y) \ge b(X \cap Y) + b(X \cup Y) \text{ for all } X, Y \subseteq S,$$
(2)

and supermodular, if

$$b(X) + b(Y) \le b(X \cap Y) + b(X \cup Y) \text{ for all } X, Y \subseteq S.$$
(3)

A set function is **positively intersecting submodular** (**positively intersecting supermodular**), if (2) (respectively (3)) holds for intersecting subsets of S, for which p(X) > 0, p(Y) > 0.

Let G = (S, T, E) be a bipartite graph and $p : T \to \mathbb{Z}$ a positively intersecting supermodular setfunction for which $p(\emptyset) = 0$ holds. Let $|\Gamma(Y)|$ be the set of neighbours of Y in G. We say that G covers p, if $\forall Y \subseteq T : p(Y) \leq |\Gamma(Y)|$. Given a matroid M = (S, r), we say that G M-covers p, if $\forall Y \subseteq T : p(Y) \leq r(\Gamma(Y))$.

Let D = (V + s, A) be a rooted digraph, where s is called the root. The in-degree of s is 0 and the outgoing edges are called **root-edges**. We call an s-rooted arborescence an s-arborescence. For $X, Z \subseteq V + s, B \subseteq A$ let $\partial_Z(X)$ denote the set of edges that go from Z - X to X and let $\varrho_Z(X) = |\partial_Z(X)|$.

Let $M_1 = (\partial_s(V), r_1)$ be a matroid on the root-edges of D. We call a packing of s-arborescences T_1, \ldots, T_k M_1 -based, if every T_i contains exactly one root-edge (e_i) and, for all vertices $v \in V$, $\{e_i : v \in V(T_i)\}$ is a basis of M_1 . Let $M_2 = (A, r_2)$ be a matroid on the edges of D. We call a packing of s-arborescences M_2 -restricted if the union of the edge sets of the arborescences in the packing is independent in M_2 .

3 Background results

The following theorem is a stronger version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3.1 (Strong Edmonds Theorem [4]). Let D = (V + s, A) be a rooted digraph, and let $\{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}$ be a partition of its root-edges. There exists a packing of T_1, \ldots, T_k spanning s-arborescences, where the root-edges of T_i are in B_i for every $i = 1, \ldots, k$ if and only if $\varrho_V(X) \ge |\{i \in \{1, \ldots, k\} : B_i \cap \varrho_s(X) = \emptyset\}|$ for all $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V$.

In [1], Bérczi and Frank characterized the existence of a packing of spanning arborescences without specified root-sets, which we call a free-rooted packing.

Theorem 3.2. (Bérczi, Frank [1]) Let D = (V, A) be a digraph with n nodes and let μ_1, \ldots, μ_k positive integers. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) There exists in D a packing of k edge-disjoint spanning arborescences B_1, \ldots, B_k , for which $|B_i| = \mu_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.
- (B1) For every subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \max\{0, q - (n - \mu_j)\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varrho(V_i)$$
(4)

(B2) Let $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. For every subpartition $\{V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ of V and for all $X \subseteq [k]$:

$$|[k] - X|q - \sum_{j \in [k] - X} n - \mu_j \le \sum_{i=1}^q \varrho(V_i)$$
(5)

Their proof relies on the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. (Bérczi, Frank [1]) Let m_S be a degree-specification on S for which $\widetilde{m}_S(S) = \gamma$. Let p_T be a positively intersecting supermodular function on T with $p_T(\emptyset) = 0$. Suppose that

$$m_S(s) \le |T| \ \forall s \in S. \tag{6}$$

The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) There exists a simple bipartite graph G = (S, T, E), which covers p_T and fits the degreespecification m_S
- (B1) For every subpartition $\{T_1, \ldots, T_q\}$ of T and $X \subseteq S$:

$$\widetilde{m}_S(X) + \sum_{i=1}^q p_T(T_i) - q|X| \le \gamma$$
(7)

(B2) For every subpartition $\{T_1, \ldots, T_q\}$ of T:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} p_T(T_i) \le \sum_{s \in S} \min\{m_S(s), q\}$$
(8)

In [2], a generalization of Theorem 3.3 is provided:

Theorem 3.4. (Bérczi, Frank [2]) We are given a matroid M = (S, r), a positively intersecting supermodular function p_T on T and a degree-specification m_S on S, for which $\tilde{m}_S(S) = \gamma$. There is a simple bigraph G = (S, T, E), which M-covers p_T and fits m_S if and only if

$$m_S(s) \le |T| \ \forall s \in S \tag{9}$$

and for every subpartition $\{T_1, \ldots, T_q\}$ of T and $X \subseteq S$:

$$\widetilde{m}_S(X) + \sum_{i=1}^q p_T(T_i) - qr(X) \le \gamma$$
(10)

In [3], Durand de Gevigney, Nguyen and Szigeti characterized the existence of so called matroidbased packings of arborescences:

Theorem 3.5. (Durand de Gevigney, Nguyen, Szigeti [3]) We are given a graph D = (V + s, A) and a matroid $M = (\partial_s(V), r)$. There is an M-based packing of s-arborescences in D if and only if

$$\varrho_V(X) \ge r(M) - r(\partial_s(X)) \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, if we want the S to be the root set of arborescences, then the following must also hold

$$\partial_s(v)$$
 is independent in M for every $v \in V$. (12)

The following theorem characterizes the existence of matroid-based and matroid-restricted packings of s-arborescence, which is a generalization of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. (Cs. Király, Szigeti, Tanigawa [7]) We are given a graph D = (V + s, A), a matroid $M_1 = (\partial_s(V), r_1)$ with a rank function r_1 , a matroid M_2 on A, which is the direct sum of the matroids $M_v = (\partial(v), r_v)$. There exist in D an M_1 -based M_2 -restricted packing of s-arborescences if and only if

$$r_1(F) + r_2(\partial(X) - F) \ge r_1(\partial_s(V)) \tag{13}$$

for all $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq \partial_s(X)$. If on the neighbouring edges of $M_2 = M_2|_{\partial_s(V)} \oplus M_2|_{E(V)}$ and $M_2|_{\partial_s(V)}$ is the free matroid, then the condition is the following:

$$r_1(\partial_s(X)) + r_2(\partial(X) - \partial_s(X)) \ge r_1(\partial_s(V)) \tag{14}$$

for all $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq V$.

4 Free-rooted packings of arborescences with matroid constraints

In this section we characterize the existence of free-rooted matroid-based and matroid-restricted packings of arborescences, give two characterizations of the existence of free-rooted matroid-based packings of arborescences with an in-degree prescription and provide a new characterization for the existence of a free-rooted arborescence packing with an in-degree prescription.

Using Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.4, we can characterize the existence of a free-rooted matroidbased and matroid restricted packing of arborescences.

Theorem 4.1. Let D = (V, A) be a digraph, let $M_1 = (S, r_1)$ be a matroid with rank function r_1 and rank k and let M_2 be a matroid on A which is the direct sum of the matroids $M_v = (\partial(v), r_v)$. Let s be a node not in V. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) We can add new possibly parallel arcs from s to some of the nodes of V and we can assigne the elements of S to the new edges such that there exists an M_1 -based M'_2 -restricted packing of s-arborescences, where M'_2 the direct sum of the free matroid on the new edges and M_2 .
- (B) For every subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V and $X \subseteq S$:

$$(k - r_1(X))q - |S - X| \le \sum_{i=1}^q r_2(\partial(V_i))$$
(15)

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that such a packing exists. Then at most $r_2(\partial(Y))$ and at least $k - \partial_s(Y)$ edges of the packing enter a set $Y \subset V$, thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(k - r_1(\partial_s(V_i))\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} r_2(\partial(V_i))$$

Using the properties of the rank function we can show that, for every $X \subseteq S$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r_1(\partial_s(V_i)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} r_1(\partial_s(V_i) \cap X) + r_1(\partial_s(V_i) - X)) \le qr_1(X) + |S - X|$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r_2(\partial(V_i)) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{q} (k - r_1(\partial_s(V_i))) \ge qk - qr_1(X) - |S - X|.$$

Sufficiency. Let $m_S : S \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ be 1 for every element of S. Let T := V and let us define the following intersecting supermodular function on T:

$$p_T(Y) = \begin{cases} k - r_2(\partial(Y)) & \emptyset \subset Y \subseteq T, \\ 0 & Y = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

From the conditions of the theorem:

$$(k - r_1(X))q - \sum_{i=1}^q r_2(\partial(V_i)) \le |S - X| = \widetilde{m}_S(S - X) = \widetilde{m}_S(S) - \widetilde{m}_S(X)$$
$$-r_1(X)q + \sum_{i=1}^q (k - r_2(\partial(V_i))) \le \widetilde{m}_S(S) - \widetilde{m}_S(X)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^q p_T(V_i) + \widetilde{m}_S(X) - r_1(X)q \le \widetilde{m}_S(S)$$

This is the condition of Theorem 3.4, therefore there exists a simple bipartite graph G = (S, V, E), which covers p_T and satisfies m_S , that is $r_1(\Gamma(Y)) \ge k - \varrho(Y) \ \forall Y \subset V$. Direct the edges of G from S to T, add the edges of D in T and contract the nodes of S into a new node s. $\Gamma(Y) = \partial_s(Y)$ holds therefore, since G covers p_T , $r_1(\partial_s(Y)) \ge k - r_2(\partial(Y))$ holds, which is the condition of Theorem 3.6 with matroids M_1 and M'_2 , which means that there exists an M_1 -based M_2 -restricted packing of s-arborescences.

Using the previous theorem, we can characterize the existence of a free-rooted matroid-based packing of arborescences with an in-degree prescription:

Collorary 4.1. Let M = (S, r) be a matroid with rank function r, let D = (V, A) be a digraph with n nodes and let $m_{in} : V \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ be an in-degree prescription for which $0 \le m_{in}(v) \le \varrho_D(v)$, $m_{in}(V) \le r(M)$ for all $v \in V$ and $\tilde{m}_{in}(V) = |V|r(M) - |S|$ holds. Let s be a node not in V. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) We can add new arcs from s to some of the nodes of V and we can assign the elements of S to the new edges such that there exists an M-based s-arborescence packing and if the edge set of the packing whitout the root edges is F, then $\rho_F(v) = m_{in}(v)$ holds for every $v \in V$.
- (B) For all $X \subseteq S$ and subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V:

$$(r(M) - r(X))q - |S - X| \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{v \in V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$$
(16)

Proof. Let $M_1 := M$ and $\forall v \in V$ let M_v be the uniform matroid on $\partial(v)$ with rank $m_{in}(v)$. Let M_2 be the direct sum of the matroids M_v . Then

$$r_2(\partial(V_i)) = \sum_{v \in V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\},\$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r_2(\partial(V_i)) = \sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$$

So (16) is the same as the condition of Theorem 4.1, so there exists a M_1 -based M_2 -restricted packing. This means that at most $m_{in}(v)$ arborescence enters every node v. Since $\tilde{m}_{in}(V) = |V|r(M) - |S|$ and the right side is the number of edges in an M-based restriction, exactly $m_{in}(v)$ edge enters every node.

Using Collorary 4.1 we can prove a new characterization for the existence of a free-rooted packing of arborescences with an in-degree prescription.

Collorary 4.2. let D = (V, A) be a digraph with n nodes and let $m_{in} : V \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ be an in-degree prescription for which $0 \le m_{in}(v) \le \varrho_D(v)$ and $m_{in}(V) \le k$ for all $v \in V$. Let μ_1, \ldots, μ_k be kpositive integers, for which $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i = \widetilde{m}_{in}(V)$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) There exist in D a packing of spanning arborescenses B_1, \ldots, B_k k, for which $|B_i| = \mu_i$ and if $\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i = F$, then $v \in V : \varrho_F(V) = m_{in}(v)$.
- (B) For every subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \max\{0, q - (n - \mu_i)\} \le \sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$$
(17)

Proof. Let $n - \mu_j := m_j$. This is the number of roots for a spanning arborescence with μ_j edges.

Let M_1 be a partition matroid with k classes, where the size of the *i*. class is m_i and the bound is 1 for every class. (Let M_2 be the same matroid as in the previous proof.) According to Collorary 4.1. $(A) \Leftrightarrow (r(M) - r(X))q - |S - X| \leq \sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$. We can assume that the set X contains either the entire partition class or it is disjoint from it. This is because if it intersects a class, then if we add the elements from the class that are not contained in X, then the left side increase and the right side stays the same. So if $I = \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then

$$(A) \Leftrightarrow (k - |X|)q - \widetilde{m}(S - X) \le \sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\} \forall X \subseteq I$$

The left side is maximized by $X = \{i \in I : m(i) > q\}$ and for this set $(k - |X|)q - \widetilde{m}(S - X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max\{0, q - (n - \mu_i)\}$ holds.

In [1], Bérczi and Frank provide a different characterization for the same problem with the following condition:

For all $Y \subseteq V$ and subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V - Y:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \max\{0, q + |Y| - (n - \mu_i)\} \le \widetilde{m}_{in}(Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varrho_D(V_i)$$
(18)

This result follows from the following theorem, which gives a different characterization of the existence of a free-rooted matroid-based packing of arborescences with an in-degree prescription with a seemingly weaker condition. The proof is based on Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.2. Let M = (S,r) be a matroid with rank function r, let D = (V,A) be a digraph with n nodes and let $m_{in} : V \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ be an in-degree prescription for which $0 \le m_{in}(v) \le \varrho_D(v)$, $m_{in}(V) \le r(M)$ for all $v \in V$ and $\tilde{m}_{in}(V) = |V|r(M) - |S|$ holds. Let s be a node not in V. The following statements are equivalent:

- (A) We can add new arcs from s to some of the nodes of V and we can assign the elements of S to the new edges such that there exists an M-based s-arborescence packing and if the edge set of the packing whitout the root edges is F, then $\rho_F(v) = m_{in}(v)$ holds for every $v \in V$.
- (B) For all $Y \subseteq V$, subpartition $\{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V Y and $X \subseteq S$:

$$(|Y|+q)(r(M) - r(X)) - |S - X| \le \widetilde{m}_{in}(Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varrho_D(V_i)$$
(19)

Furthermore, (16) implies (19).

Proof. First we will prove that (16) implies (19). By Theorem 4.1, this implies the neccesity of (19). Let us suppose that (16) holds and we are given a set $Y \in V$ and a subpartition $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$

of V-Y. Let us define the following partition of $V: \mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P} \cup \bigcup_{v \in Y} \{v\}$. Then $|\mathcal{P}'| = q + |Y|$, $m_{in}(Y) = \sum_{v \in Y} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$ és $\sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varrho_D(V_i)$, so (19) holds.

Necessity: Let $m_S : S \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ be 1 for every element of S. Let T := V and let us define the following set function on T:

$$p_T(Y) = \begin{cases} r(M) - \varrho_D(Y) & Y \subseteq T, |Y| \ge 2, \\ r(M) - m_{in}(v) & Y = \{v\}, v \in V, \\ 0 & Y = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Since $m_{in}(v) \leq \varrho_D(v), \ k - m_{in}(v) \geq k - \varrho_D(v)$ so p_T is intersecting supermodular.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_q, \ldots, V_{q'}\}$ be a subpartition of T, where the last q' - q class consist of only 1 element. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ and $Y = V_{q+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{q'}$.

From the definition of p_T :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q'} p_T(V_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left[r(M) - \varrho_D(V_i) \right] + \sum_{i=q+1}^{q'} \left[r(M) - \tilde{m}_{in}(V_i) \right] = \left(|Y| + q \right) r(M) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varrho_D(V_i) - \tilde{m}_{in}(Y)$$

If we apply the condition of Theorem 3.4 to \mathcal{T} and a set $X \subset S$ and we use the previous equation for $\sum_{i=1}^{q'} p_T(V_i)$, we get the following:

$$\widetilde{m}_S(X) + (|Y| + q)r(M) - \sum_{i=1}^q \varrho_D(V_i) - \widetilde{m}_{in}(Y) - q'r(X) \le \widetilde{m}_S(S)$$

If we reorder the terms and use that q' = |Y| + q and $\widetilde{m}_S(S) - \widetilde{m}_S(X) = |S-X|$ we get the condition in (19). So there exists a simple bipartite graph G = (S, V, E), which covers p_T -t and satisfies the degree prescription. From this we get that for every $v \in V$ -re $r(M) - m_{in}(v) \leq r(\Gamma_G(v)) \leq d_G(v)$ (where $\Gamma_G(v)$ is the set of neighbours of v in G), so

$$\sum_{v \in V} [r(M) - m_{in}(v)] \le \sum_{v \in V} d_G(v) = \sum_{s \in S} d_G(s) = |S|$$

Since $\widetilde{m}_{in}(V) = |V|r(M) - |S|$, the left hand side of the previous equation is |S|, so we have equality everywhere, so we get $d_G(v) = r(\Gamma_G(v)) = r(M) - m_{in}(v) \ \forall v \in V$.

Since $\forall Y \subset V$ -re $r(M) - \varrho_D(Y) \leq r(\Gamma_G(Y))$ also holds, if we contract S and direct its outgoing edges similarly to the ending of the previous proof, then the condition of Theorem 3.5 holds for the graph, so there exists an M-based s-arborescence packing. Since $r(\Gamma_G(v)) = r(M) - m_{in}(v)$, at least $m_{in}(v)$ arborescence enters v with a non-rootedge. We can assprime that exactly $r(\Gamma_G(v))$ root-edge is in the packing (becouse otherwise we can exchange certain edges of the arborescences), so there exists a packing wich enters v with exactly $m_{in}(v)$ edges.

5 Free-rooted packings of arborescences in mixed graphs

A mixed graph is a graph which has both undirected and directed edges (arcs). In this section we prove a generalization of a result on free-rooted packings of arborescences in mixed graphs by Szigeti ([8]).

Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph where E is the set of undirected edges and A is the set of arcs. For $B \subseteq E \cup A$, let $\partial^{B}(X)$ be the set of edges in B entering X. Let $\rho^{B}(X) = |\partial^{B}(X)|$. Orienting an edge we replace it with an arc. For $\vec{Z} \subseteq A$, Z denotes the underlying undirected edges of \vec{Z} . For $Z \subseteq E$ and $X \subseteq V$ the set of vertices covered by Z is denoted by V(Z) and the set of edges in Z that are induced by X is denoted by Z(X). A mixed r-arborescence is a mixed graph that can be oriented to be an *r*-arborescence. For a family of sets \mathcal{P} on V and $B \subseteq A \cup E$ let $\partial_B(\mathcal{P})$ be the set of (directed and undirected) edges in B, that enter a member of \mathcal{P} and $\varrho_B(\mathcal{P}):=|\partial_B(\mathcal{P})|$. If $f, v: V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, then we call a packing of arborescences (f,g)-bounded, if for each $v \in V$, the number of *v*-arborescences in the packing is between f(v) and g(v). For $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$ a packing of arborescences is (l, l')-bounded if the number of arborescences in the packing is between l and l', and *k*-regular, if each vertex is in exactly k arborescences in the packing. We call a packing of mixed arborescences (f,g)-bounded/(l, l')/k-regular, if we can orient the undirected edges such that we get an (f, g)-bounded/(l, l')/k-regular packing of arborescences.

For a graph G = (V, E), let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be the graphic matroid of G, and let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ be the k-graphic matroid of G, that is the k-sum of M_G , which is a matroid on V, where a set is independent if and only if it can be partitioned into k independent sets of M_G . Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph. For a partition \mathcal{P} of V, let $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathbf{E}(\mathcal{P})$ be the set of directed and undirected edges entering a member of \mathcal{P} . Let $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{F}} = (V, E \cup E_A)$ be the underlying undirected graph of F, and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{F}} = (V, A_E \cup A)$ the directed extension of F, where $A_E = \bigcup_{e \in E} A_e$, and if e = uv, $A_e = \{\overline{uv}, \overline{vu}\}$ (\overline{uv} is an arc from u to v). The extended k-hipergraphic matroid $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ of F is a matroid on $A \cup A_E$, which we get from $M_{G_F}^k$ by replacing each edge $e \in E$ with two paralell copies of itself, associating these edges to the corresponding edges in A_E , and associating the edges of E_A with the corresponding arcs of A. It is shown in [6], that the rank function of M_F^k is the following ($Z \subseteq A \cup A_E$):

$$r_{M_{r}^{k}}(Z) = \min\{|Z \cap A(\mathcal{P})| + |\{e \in E(\mathcal{P}): \ Z \cap A_{e} \neq \emptyset\}| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|): \mathcal{P} \text{ is a partition of } V\}$$
(20)

Let p and b be two set functions on S. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^S$ and $Z \subseteq S$, let $\tilde{x}(Z) := \sum_{s \in S} x_s$. The polyhedron $Q(p, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S : p(Z) \leq \tilde{x}(Z) \leq b(Z) \ \forall Z \subseteq S\}$ is called a generalized-polymatroid or g-polymatroid if p and b have the following properties: $p(\emptyset) = b(\emptyset)$, p is supermodular, b is submodular and $b(X) - b(Y) \geq b(X - Y) - p(Y - X)$ for all $X, Y \subseteq S$. The Minkowski sum of the n g-polymatroids $Q(p_i, b_i)$ is denoted by $\sum_{1}^{n} Q(p_i, b_i)$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the polyhedron $\mathbf{K}(\alpha, \beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^S : \alpha \leq \tilde{x}(S) \leq \beta\}$ is called a plank. We will use the following results on g-polymatroids:

Theorem 5.1 (Frank [5]). 1. Let Q(p,b) be a g-polymatroid, $K(\alpha,\beta)$ a plank and $M = Q(p,b) \cap K(\alpha,\beta)$.

- (i) $M \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $p \leq b$, $\alpha \leq \beta$, $\beta \geq p(S)$ and $\alpha \leq b(S)$.
- (ii) M is a g-polimatroid.
- (iii) If $M \neq \emptyset$, then $M = Q(p^{\alpha}_{\beta}, q^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ with

$$p_{\beta}^{\alpha}(Z) = \max\{p(Z), \alpha - b(S - Z)\}$$
$$b_{\beta}^{\alpha}(Z) = \min\{b(Z), \beta - p(S - Z)\}$$

- 2. Let $Q(p_1, b_1)$ and $Q(p_2, b_2)$ be two non-empty g-polymetroids and $M = Q(p_1, b_1) \cap Q(p_2, b_2)$.
 - (i) $M \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $p_1 \leq b_2$ and $p_2 \leq b_1$.
 - (ii) If p_1 , b_1 , p_2 , b_2 are integral and $M \neq \emptyset$, then M contains an integral element.
- 3. Let $Q(p_i, b_i)$ be n nonempty g-polimatroids. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q(p_i, b_i) = Q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$.

Theorem 5.2. (Szigeti [8]) Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph, $f, g : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ functions and $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$. There exists an (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited packing of arborescences in F if and only if $g_k(v) \ge f(v)$ for every $v \in V$, $\min\{\tilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \ge l$ and

$$\varrho_{A\cup E}(\mathcal{P}) \ge k|\mathcal{P}| - \min\{l' - f(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}), \widetilde{g}_k(\cup \mathcal{P})\} \text{ for every subpartition } \mathcal{P} \text{ of } V$$
(21)

Theorem 5.3. (Szigeti [8]) Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph, $f, g : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ functions and $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$. Let $M_v := (\partial^{A \cup A_e}(v), r_v)$ be the free matroid for every $v \in V$, and let $M := \bigoplus_{v \in V} M_v$ with a rankfunction r. Let M_F^k be the extended k-graphic matroid of F on $A \cup A_e$. Let $T := (\sum_{v \in V} ((Q(0, r_v)) \cap K(k - g_k(v), k - f(v))) \cap K(k|V| - l', k|V| - l) \cap Q(0, r_{M_F^k})).$

(A) The characteristic vectors of the edge sets of (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited M-restricted packings of arborescences in orientations of F are exactly the integer points of T.

(B) $T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g_k(v) \ge f(v)$ for every $v \in V$, $\min\{\widetilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \ge l$ and for every $Z \subseteq A \cup A_E$,

$$\sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - g_k(v) - r_v(\partial^Z(v))\} \le r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})$$
(22)

$$k|V| - l' - \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - f(v)\} \le r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})$$

$$\tag{23}$$

(C) (25) and (26) are equivalent to (24).

Theorem 5.4. Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph, $f, g: V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ functions and $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$. Let $M_v := (\partial^{A \cup A_e}(v), r_v)$ be a matroid for every $v \in V$, and let $M := \bigoplus_{v \in V} M_v$ with a rankfunction r. There exists an (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited M-restricted packing of arborescences in F if and only if $g_k(v) \ge f(v)$ for every $v \in V$, min $\{\widetilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \ge l$ and

$$R(\mathcal{P}) \ge k|\mathcal{P}| - \min\{l' - f(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}), \tilde{g}_k(\cup \mathcal{P})\} \text{ for every subpartition } \mathcal{P} \text{ of } V$$
(24)

where $R(\mathcal{P}) = \max\{r(\overrightarrow{\partial_{A\cup E}(\mathcal{P})})\}$, where $\overrightarrow{\partial_{A\cup E}(\mathcal{P})}$ is an orientation of $\partial_{A\cup E}(\mathcal{P})$ in which every undirected edge is oriented in such a way that it enters a member of \mathcal{P} .

The proof of this theorem relies on the following theorem:

Theorem 5.5. Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph, $f, g: V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ functions and $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$. Let $M_v := (\partial^{A \cup A_e}(v), r_v)$ be a matroid for every $v \in V$, and let $M := \bigoplus_{v \in V} M_v$ with a rankfunction r. Let M_F^k be the extended k-graphic matroid of F on $A \cup A_e$. Let $T := (\sum_{v \in V} ((Q(0, r_v)) \cap K(k - g_k(v), k - f(v))) \cap K(k | V | - l', k | V | - l) \cap Q(0, r_{M_F^k})).$

- (A) The characteristic vectors of the edge sets of (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited M-restricted packings of arborescences in orientations of F are exactly the integer points of T.
- (B) $T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g_k(v) \ge f(v)$ for every $v \in V$, $\min\{\widetilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \ge l$ and for every $Z \subseteq A \cup A_E$,

$$\sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - g_k(v) - r_v(\partial^Z(v))\} \le r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})$$
(25)

$$k|V| - l' - \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - f(v)\} \le r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})$$

$$\tag{26}$$

(C) (24) implies (25) and (26).

Proof. (A)

By Theorem 5.3/(A), the integer points of T are characteristic vectors of the edge sets of (f, g)bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited packings of arborescences in orientations of F. Since an integer point of T is also in $\sum_{v \in V} Q(0, r_v)$, the corresponding packing is also M-based.

By the other direction of Theorem 5.3/(A), since the characteristic vector of an *M*-based packing must be in $\sum_{v \in V} Q(0, r_v)$, the integer points of *T* are exactly the characteristic vectors of the edge sets of the required packings.

(B)

By Theorem 5.1.1 $Q(0, r_v)$) $\cap K(k - g_k(v), k - f(v))$ is non empty if and only if $k - g_k(v) \le k - f(v)$ (which is equivalent to $g_k(v) \ge f(v)$), $k - f(v) \ge 0$ (which is true because $0 \le k - g_k(v) \le k - f(v)$) and $k - g_k(v) \le r_v(\partial^{A \cup A_E}(v))$ (which we will see later).

If $Q(0, r_v)) \cap K(k - g_k(v), k - f(v)) \neq \emptyset$ then it is equal to $Q(p_v, b_v)$, where by Theorem 5.1.1/(iii), for $Z \subseteq A \cup A_E$ and $Z_v = Z \cap \partial^{A \cup A_E}(v)$,

$$p_v(Z_v) = \max\{0, k - g_k(v) - r(\partial^{Z_v}(v))\}$$

$$b_v(Z_v) = \min\{r(\partial^{Z_v}(v), k - f(v))\}$$

By Theorem 5.1.3, $\sum_{v \in V} Q(p_v, b_v) = Q(p_{\Sigma}, b_{\Sigma})$, where $p_{\Sigma} = \sum_{v \in V} p_v$, $b_{\Sigma} = \sum_{v \in V} b_v$.

By Theorem 5.1.1, $Q(0, r_{M_F^k}) \cap K(k|V| - l', k|V| - l) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $k|V| - l' \leq k|V| - l$ (which is equivalent to the second half of $\min\{\widetilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \geq l$), $k|V| - l \geq 0$ (which follows from $k|V| - l \geq \widetilde{g}_k(V) - l \geq 0$) and $k|V| - l' \leq r_{M_F^k}(A \cup A_e)$, which is (26) for $Z = A \cup A_E$. Then $Q(0, r_{M_F^k}) \cap K(k|V| - l', k|V| - l) = Q(p, b)$, where $p(Z) = \max\{0, k|V| - l' - r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})\}$ and $b(Z) = \min\{r_{M_F^k}(Z), k|V| - l\}$.

By Theorem 5.1.2, $Q(p,b) \cap Q(p_{\Sigma}, b_{\Sigma}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $p_{\Sigma} \leq b$ and $p \leq b_{\Sigma}$, that is

$$\sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, k - g_k(v) - r_v(\partial^{\overline{Z}_v}(v))\} \le \min\{r_{M_F^k}(Z), k|V| - l\}$$

and

$$\max\{0, k|V| - l' - r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})\} \le \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^{Z_v}(v)), k - f(v)\}$$

The first inequality is equivalent to (25) by the fact, that $\max\{0, k|V| - l' - r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z})\} \leq \sum_{v \in V} k - g_k(v) \leq k|V| - l$ (here we use that $\min\{\tilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \geq l$). The first part of the second inequality is equivalent to $k - f(v) \geq k - g_k(v) \geq 0$ ($r_v \geq 0$ always holds), and the second part is equivalent to (26).

Finally, $k - g_k(v) \le r_v(\partial^{A \cup A_E}(v))$ follows from $p_{\Sigma}(\emptyset) \le b(\emptyset)$ and the proof is complete. (C)

Note, that (25) is equivalent to

$$k|V| - g_k(V) - \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - g_k(V)\} \le r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z}).$$
(27)

Let $Z \subseteq A \cup A_E$. By (20), there exists a partition \mathcal{P} of V such that for $K = \{e \in E(\mathcal{P}) : \overline{Z} \cap A_e \neq \emptyset\}$:

$$r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z}) = |\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P})| + |K| + k(|V| - |P|).$$

$$\tag{28}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_h := \{X \in \mathcal{P} : r_v(\partial^Z(v)) \le k - h(v) \ (\forall v \in V)\}$, where $h \in \{f, g_k\}$. Then \mathcal{P}_h is a subpartition of V and for every $X \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_h$ there exists a $v_X \in X$ such that $r_v(\partial^Z(v)) > k - h(v)$.

By the definition of K, we have

$$A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)-K} \subseteq Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)}.$$
(29)

Then, by (28), the definition of \mathcal{P}_h and v_X , $r_v(\partial^Z(v)) \ge 0$, $k - h \ge 0$, $h \ge 0$ and $r(X) \le |X|$ (subcardinality of the rank function of a matroid), we have:

$$r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z}) + \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} =$$

$$= |\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P})| + |K| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|) + \sum_{v \in \cup \mathcal{P}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + \sum_{v \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}} \min\{r_$$

$$\geq |\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)| + \sum_{v \in \cup \mathcal{P}} r_v(\partial^Z(v)) + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_h} \sum_{v \in X} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} + |K| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|)$$

$$\geq |\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)| + r((Z \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_h} (k - h(v_X)) + |K| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|)$$

$$\geq r(\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) + r((Z \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_h} (k - h(X)) + |K| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|)$$

$$\geq r(\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) + r((Z \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) + k(|\mathcal{P}| - |\mathcal{P}_h|) - h(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}_h}) + |K| + k(|V| - |\mathcal{P}|)$$

$$= r(\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) + r((Z \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) - k|\mathcal{P}_h| - h(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}_h}) + |K| + k|V|$$

Using (29) and the submodularity of r, we get

 $r(\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) + r((Z \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) \ge r(A(\mathcal{P}_h) \cup (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)})) + r((\overline{Z} \cap A(\mathcal{P}_h)) \cap (Z \cap A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)}))).$

 $\geq r(A(\mathcal{P}_h) \cup A_{E(\mathcal{P}_h)-K}) + r(\emptyset) \geq R(\mathcal{P}_h) - |K|.$

In the last inequality we use $r(X - K) \ge r(X) - |K|$. Using the previous two inequalities, we get

$$r_{M_F^k}(\overline{Z}) + \sum_{v \in V} \min\{r_v(\partial^Z(v)), k - h(V)\} \ge R(\mathcal{P}_h) - k|\mathcal{P}_h| - h(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}_h}) + k|V|.$$

Using this inequality for h = f and (24) we get (26), and if we apply it for $h = g_k$, we get (27).

Finally, we prove Theorem 5.4.

Proof. Necessity. The neccesity of $g_k \geq f$ and $\min\{\tilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \geq l$ is trivial. Let \mathcal{P} be a subpartition of V and let B be the arc set of an (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited M-restricted packing of arborescences in an orientation \overrightarrow{F} of F. For a node v, let the number of v-arborescences in the packing be q(v). Let C be a class of \mathcal{P} . By k-regularity, there is at least k arborescences in the packing, which have arcs induced by C. If the root of an arborescence is not in C, then it enters it. Thus, the number of edges in B that enter C is at least $k - \sum_{v \in C} q(v)$. The number of edges in B entering a class of \mathcal{P} is therefore at least $k|\mathcal{P}| - \sum_{C \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{q}(C) = k|\mathcal{P}| - \tilde{q}(\cup \mathcal{P})$. Since the packing is (f,g)-bounded and (l,l')-limited, we have $\tilde{q}(\cup \mathcal{P}) \leq \min\{l' - f(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}), \tilde{g}_k(\cup \mathcal{P})\}$, therefore the right side of (24) is a lower bound on the number of edges in B, that enter a member of \mathcal{P} . Since B is independent in M, we get (24).

Sufficiency. Let $(F = (V, E \cup A), f, g, k, l, l')$ an instance of Theorem 5.4, that satisfies the necessary conditions. Since (24) holds, by Theorem 5.5/(C), (25) and (26) hold. Since $g_k \ge f$ and $\min\{\tilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \ge l$ also hold, by Theorem 5.5/(B), T (as defined in Theorem 5.5) is nonempty, thus, by Theorem 5.1/2./(ii), it contains an integral element x. By Theorem 5.5/(A), x is the characteristic vector of the edge sets of an (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited M-restricted packing of arborescences in an orientation $\overrightarrow{F} = (V, \overrightarrow{E} \cup A)$ of F. Replacing the arcs in \overrightarrow{E} with the edges in E, we get the required packing.

If we choose the matroid in Theorem 5.4 to be a partition matroid, we can prescribe bounds on the in-going directed edges in the packing:

Collorary 5.1. Let $F = (V, E \cup A)$ be a mixed graph, $f, g, h : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ functions and $k, l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ - \{0\}$. There exists an (f, g)-bounded k-regular (l, l')-limited packing of arborescences in F with $\varrho_{A\cap T}(v) \leq h(v)$ for every $v \in V$ where T is the edge set of the packing, if and only if $g_k(v) \geq f(v)$ for every $v \in V$, $\min\{\widetilde{g}_k(V), l'\} \geq l$ and for every subpartition \mathcal{P} of V

$$\sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\} \ge k|\mathcal{P}| - \varrho_E(\mathcal{P}) - \min\{l' - f(\overline{\cup \mathcal{P}}), \widetilde{g}_k(\cup \mathcal{P})\}$$
(30)

Proof. For every $v \in V$, let M_v a partition matroid with partition classes $\partial_A(v)$ and $\partial_E(v)$, and bounds h(v) and $\varrho_E(v)$ (that is, $M_v | \partial_E(v)$ is the free-matroid) and let $M := \bigoplus_{v \in V} M_v$. Then, an M-restricted packing with edge set T satisfies $\varrho_{A \cap T}(v) \leq h(v)$.

Let R be as defined in Theorem 5.4. For a subpartition $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, \ldots, V_q\}$ of V:

$$R(\mathcal{P}) = \varrho_E(\mathcal{P}) + \sum_{v \in \bigcup_{i=1}^q V_i} \min\{m_{in}(v), |\partial(v) \cap \partial(V_i)|\}$$
(31)

Therefore (4.1) is equivalent to (24) with f, g, k, l, l' and the matroid M which proves the statement.

 \square

References

- Kristóf Bérczi and András Frank. Supermodularity in unweighted graph optimization i: Branchings and matchings. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 43(3):726–753, 2018.
- [2] Kristóf Bérczi and András Frank. Supermodularity in unweighted graph optimization ii: Matroidal term rank augmentation. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 43(3):754–762, 2018.
- [3] Olivier Durand de Gevigney, Viet-Hang Nguyen, and Zoltán Szigeti. Matroid-based packing of arborescences. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 27(1):567–574, 2013.
- [4] Jack Edmonds. Edge-disjoint branchings. Combinatorial algorithms, pages 91–96, 1973.
- [5] András Frank. *Connections in combinatorial optimization*, volume 38. Oxford University Press Oxford, 2011.
- [6] Florian Hörsch and Zoltán Szigeti. Packing of mixed hyperarborescences with flexible roots via matroid intersection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13899, 2020.
- [7] Csaba Király, Zoltán Szigeti, and Shin-ichi Tanigawa. Packing of arborescences with matroid constraints via matroid intersection. *Mathematical Programming*, 181:85–117, 2020.
- [8] Zoltán Szigeti. Packing mixed hyperarborescences. In Proceedings of the 12th Hungarian-Japanese Symposium on Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, 2023.