

Well-posedness of the Heat Equation on Non-Compact graph with non-local-Kirchhoff-type condition

Chtiba Reda

12/22/2022

1 Framework

2 Spaces, Operators, and ACP

3 Well-posedness: First Method

4 Well-posedness: Second method

Parametrization

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Consider a network represented by a Non-Compact finite metric Graph G , with n vertices v_1, \dots, v_n , m edges in total, composed from k -directed edges $\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_k$, and $s := m - k$ -leads $\bar{e}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_m$.

Parametrization

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Consider a network represented by a Non-Compact finite metric Graph G , with n vertices v_1, \dots, v_n , m edges in total, composed from k -directed edges $\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_k$, and $s := m - k$ -leads $\bar{e}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_m$.

The edges are normalized and parameterized on the interval $[0, 1]$ and the leads are parameterized on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

Parametrization

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Consider a network represented by a Non-Compact finite metric Graph G , with n vertices v_1, \dots, v_n , m edges in total, composed from k -directed edges $\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_k$, and $s := m - k$ -leads $\bar{e}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_m$.

The edges are normalized and parameterized on the interval $[0, 1]$ and the leads are parameterized on $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$.

Let $\Gamma(v_i) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : e_j(0) = v_i \vee e_j(1) = v_i\}$ denotes the set of incident edge's indexes for each vertex.

Structure of the graph

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

The structure of G is given by the Outgoing and Incoming Incidence Matrices corresponding to the directed edges, denoted here by $\underline{\Phi}^+ := \left(\underline{\phi}_{ij}^+ \right)_{n \times k}$, $\underline{\Phi}^- := \left(\underline{\phi}_{ij}^- \right)_{n \times k}$ and an additional outgoing incidence matrix corresponding to the leads, denoted by $\overline{\Phi}^+ := \left(\overline{\phi}_{ij}^+ \right)_{n \times s}$.

Structure of the graph

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

The structure of G is given by the Outgoing and Incoming Incidence Matrices corresponding to the directed edges, denoted here by $\underline{\Phi}^+ := \left(\underline{\phi}_{ij}^+ \right)_{n \times k}$, $\underline{\Phi}^- := \left(\underline{\phi}_{ij}^- \right)_{n \times k}$ and an additional outgoing incidence matrix corresponding to the leads, denoted by $\overline{\Phi}^+ := \left(\overline{\phi}_{ij}^+ \right)_{n \times s}$.

These Matrices are defined as :

$$\underline{\phi}_{ij}^+ := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \underline{e}_j(0) = v_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} ; \quad \underline{\phi}_{ij}^- := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \underline{e}_j(1) = v_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{\phi}_{ij}^+ := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{e}_j(0) = v_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

System of Heat Equations

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

(SE):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \dot{u}_j(t, x) = (u_j'')(t, x) & t \in (0, T], \begin{cases} x \in (0, 1) \text{ if } j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \\ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ if } j \in \{k+1, \dots, m\} \end{cases} \\ u_j(t, v_i) = u_\ell(t, v_i) =: q_i(t), & t \in (0, T], \forall j, \ell \in \Gamma(v_i), i = 1, \dots, n \\ [Mq(t)]_i = -\sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{ij} u_j'(t, v_i), & t \in (0, T], i = 1, \dots, n \\ u_j(0, x) = u_j(x), & \begin{cases} x \in (0, 1) \text{ if } j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \\ x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ if } j \in \{k+1, \dots, m\} \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

Settings and notations

Here $u_j(t, \cdot)$ is a function defined the edge e_j .

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Settings and notations

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Here $u_j(t, \cdot)$ is a function defined the edge e_j .

We denote $u_j(t, \cdot)$ at 0 or 1 by $u_j(t, v_i)$ if $e_j(1)=v_i$ or $e_j(0)=v_i$ and $u'_j(t, v_i)=0$ if $j \notin \Gamma(v_i)$.

Settings and notations

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Here $u_j(t, \cdot)$ is a function defined the edge e_j .

We denote $u_j(t, \cdot)$ at 0 or 1 by $u_j(t, v_i)$ if $e_j(1)=v_i$ or $e_j(0)=v_i$ and $u'_j(t, v_i)=0$ if $j \notin \Gamma(v_i)$.

A function on the whole graph $u(t, \cdot)$ is defined by

$u(t, \cdot) = (\underline{u}(t, \cdot), \bar{u}(t, \cdot))^T$, where

$\underline{u}(t, \cdot) = (\underline{u}_1(t, \cdot), \dots, \underline{u}_k(t, \cdot))^T \in (X[0, 1])^k$ and

$\bar{u}(t, \cdot) = (\bar{u}_{k+1}(t, \cdot), \dots, \bar{u}_m(t, \cdot))^T \in (X[0, \infty))^s$, where $(X[0, 1])^k$ and $(X[0, \infty))^s$ are two appropriately defined (real) functional spaces over $[0, 1]$ and $[0, \infty)$ respectively.

Settings and notations

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Here $u_j(t, \cdot)$ is a function defined the edge e_j .

We denote $u_j(t, \cdot)$ at 0 or 1 by $u_j(t, v_i)$ if $e_j(1)=v_i$ or $e_j(0)=v_i$ and $u'_j(t, v_i)=0$ if $j \notin \Gamma(v_i)$.

A function on the whole graph $u(t, \cdot)$ is defined by

$u(t, \cdot) = (\underline{u}(t, \cdot), \bar{u}(t, \cdot))^T$, where

$\underline{u}(t, \cdot) = (\underline{u}_1(t, \cdot), \dots, \underline{u}_k(t, \cdot))^T \in (X[0, 1])^k$ and

$\bar{u}(t, \cdot) = (\bar{u}_{k+1}(t, \cdot), \dots, \bar{u}_m(t, \cdot))^T \in (X[0, \infty))^s$, where $(X[0, 1])^k$ and $(X[0, \infty))^s$ are two appropriately defined (real) functional spaces over $[0, 1]$ and $[0, \infty)$ respectively.

Here, $M = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is assumed to be a real, symmetric and negative semi-definite matrix.

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

The second line in (SE), is called the continuity condition and it means that all edges adjacent to a vertex v_i must share a common value denoted by $q_i(t)$.

The second line in (SE), is called the continuity condition and it means that all edges adjacent to a vertex v_i must share a common value denoted by $q_i(t)$.

The third line is a non-local Kirchhoff-type B.C.

The second line in (SE), is called the continuity condition and it means that all edges adjacent to a vertex v_i must share a common value denoted by $q_i(t)$.

The third line is a non-local Kirchhoff-type B.C.

Using the previously defined incidence matrices, the Kirchhoff law can be rewritten as

$$Mq(t) = -\overline{\Phi}^+ \overline{u}'(t, 0) - \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(t, 0) + \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{u}'(t, 1), \quad t \geq 0.$$

State space:

Let $\underline{X} := (L^2(0, 1))^k$ and $\overline{X} := (L^2(0, \infty))^s$ the state space of the k directed edges and s leads respectively, and let $X := \underline{X} \times \overline{X}$ denote the state space of all m edges.

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

State space:

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Let $\underline{X} := (L^2(0, 1))^k$ and $\overline{X} := (L^2(0, \infty))^s$ the state space of the k directed edges and s leads respectively, and let $X := \underline{X} \times \overline{X}$ denote the state space of all m edges.

Proposition 1:

X is a Hilbert space with the natural inner product:

$$\langle u, v \rangle_X := \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 \underline{u}_j(x) \underline{v}_j(x) dx + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \overline{u}_j(x) \overline{v}_j(x) dx, \quad \underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \underline{X} \text{ and } \overline{u}, \overline{v} \in \overline{X}$$

Boundary spaces and operators:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(L) := \{ u \in (C[0, 1])^k \times (C(\mathbb{R}_+))^s : u_j(v_i) = u_l(v_i) \quad \forall j, l \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \} \\ Lu := (q_1, \dots, q_n)^\top = q \in \mathbb{R}^n; q_i = u_j(v_i) \text{ for some } j \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \end{array} \right.$$

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Boundary spaces and operators:

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(L) := \{ u \in (C[0, 1])^k \times (C(\mathbb{R}_+))^s : u_j(v_i) = u_l(v_i) \quad \forall j, l \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \} \\ Lu := (q_1, \dots, q_n)^\top = q \in \mathbb{R}^n; q_i = u_j(v_i) \text{ for some } j \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \end{array} \right.$$

Let's define now the Laplace operator A_{\max} on X defined by :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(A_{\max}) := (H^2(0, 1))^k \times (H^2(0, \infty))^s \cap D(L) \\ A_{\max} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \end{pmatrix}_{(m \times m)} \end{array} \right.$$

Boundary spaces and operators:

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(L) := \{ u \in (C[0, 1])^k \times (C(\mathbb{R}_+))^s : u_j(v_i) = u_l(v_i) \quad \forall j, l \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \} \\ Lu := (q_1, \dots, q_n)^\top = q \in \mathbb{R}^n; q_i = u_j(v_i) \text{ for some } j \in \Gamma(v_i), i = \overline{1, n} \end{array} \right.$$

Let's define now the Laplace operator A_{\max} on X defined by :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(A_{\max}) := (H^2(0, 1))^k \times (H^2(0, \infty))^s \cap D(L) \\ A_{\max} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \end{pmatrix}_{(m \times m)} \end{array} \right.$$

Consider the following operator, called **feedback operator**, defined in the following way :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(C) := D(A_{\max}) \\ Cu := -\overline{\Phi}^+ \overline{u}'(0) - \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(0) + \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{u}'(1) \end{array} \right.$$

Abstract Cauchy problem

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Using these operators and spaces we can now reformulate the system of heat equations defined on each edge, as an abstract Cauchy problem in the following way:

$$(ACP) \begin{cases} \dot{u}(t) = Au(t), t > 0 \\ u(0) = u^0 = (u_1^0, \dots, u_m^0)^\top \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} A := A_{\max} \\ D(A) := \{u \in X \text{ and } MLu = Cu\} \end{cases}$$

Bilinear form and associated operator:

Consider the bilinear form \mathfrak{a} defined on X by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 \underline{u}'_j \underline{v}'_j dx + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \bar{u}'_j \bar{v}'_j dx - \sum_{i,h=1}^n b_{ih} q_h r_i \\ D(\mathfrak{a}) := V := (H^1(0, 1))^k \times (H^1(\mathbb{R}_+))^s \cap D(L) \\ \text{Where } Lu = q \text{ and } Lv = r \end{array} \right.$$

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Bilinear form and associated operator:

Consider the bilinear form \mathfrak{a} defined on X by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 \underline{u}'_j \underline{v}'_j dx + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \bar{u}'_j \bar{v}'_j dx - \sum_{i,h=1}^n b_{ih} q_h r_i \\ D(\mathfrak{a}) := V := (H^1(0, 1))^k \times (H^1(\mathbb{R}_+))^s \cap D(L) \\ \text{Where } Lu = q \text{ and } Lv = r \end{array} \right.$$

From \mathfrak{a} we define its associated operator $(B, D(B))$ by :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(B) := \{u \in V : \exists v \in X \text{ such that } : \mathfrak{a}(u, \phi) = \langle v, \phi \rangle_X \quad \forall \phi \in V\} \\ Bu := -v \end{array} \right.$$

Generalized results

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Proposition 2: The associated operator $(B, D(B))$ of \mathfrak{a} is $(A, D(A))$ in the (ACP).

Generalized results

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Proposition 2: The associated operator $(B, D(B))$ of \mathfrak{a} is $(A, D(A))$ in the (ACP).

Proof:

Generalized results

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Proposition 2: The associated operator $(B, D(B))$ of \mathfrak{a} is $(A, D(A))$ in the (ACP).

Proof:

Proof: Let $u \in D(A) \implies \forall v \in V$ we have :

$$\mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 u'_j v'_j dx + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \bar{u}'_j \bar{v}'_j dx - \sum_{i,h=1}^n b_{ih} q_h r_i$$

. Using integration by part and the fact that $(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+))^s$ is dense in $(H^1(0, \infty))^s$ in the H^1 - norm we get

$$\implies \mathfrak{a}(u, v) = - \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 u''_j v_j dx + \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \bar{u}''_j \bar{v}_j dx \right]$$

$$\implies \mathfrak{a}(u, v) = -\langle Au, v \rangle_X$$

Proposition 3: α is densely defined, continuous, accretive, closed, and symmetric.

Proposition 3: α is densely defined, continuous, accretive, closed, and symmetric.

Proof:

We want to show that $\|u\|_\alpha$ is complete in $D(\alpha)$, where

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2 = \operatorname{Ra}(u, v) + \|u\|_H^2$$

We have that V is Hilbert with

$$\langle u, v \rangle_H := \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle_{(H^1(0,1))^k} + \langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{(H^1(0,\infty))^s}$$

First step: $\langle u, v \rangle_H$ is equivalent to $\langle u, v \rangle_V := \langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle_{V_1} + \langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{V_2}$, where:

$$\langle \underline{u}, \underline{v} \rangle_{V_1} := \sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^1 \underline{u}'_j \underline{v}'_j dx \quad \text{for } \underline{u}, \underline{v} \in V_1.$$

$$\langle \bar{u}, \bar{v} \rangle_{V_2} := \sum_{j=k+1}^m \int_0^\infty \bar{u}'_j \bar{v}'_j dx \quad \text{for } \bar{u}, \bar{v} \in V_2.$$

Second step: $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is equivalent with $\|\cdot\|_V$

Proposition 4: $(A, D(A))$ is densely defined, dissipative, self-adjoint and generates a C_0 -semigroup of contractions $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X .

Proposition 4: $(A, D(A))$ is densely defined, dissipative, self-adjoint and generates a C_0 -semigroup of contractions $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on X .

Proof:

Proposition 1.2.3.3 If a bilinear form is densely defined, accretive, continuous, and closed on a Hilbert space H , and \mathcal{A} is the operator associated with it. Then \mathcal{A} is densely defined, and $\forall \lambda \geq 0$, the operator $(\lambda + \mathcal{A})$ is invertible and its inverse $(\lambda + \mathcal{A})^{-1}$ is bounded and $\|\lambda(\lambda + \mathcal{A})^{-1}f\| \leq \|f\|$ for all $\lambda \geq 0, f \in H$

Proposition 1.2.3.4 : If a sesquilinear form is symmetric then the operator associated with it is self-adjoint.

Proposition 1.2.3.5 : If a bilinear form is densely defined, accretive, continuous, and closed on a Hilbert space H , and \mathcal{A} is the operator associated with it. Then the operator $-\mathcal{A}$ is the generator of a C_0 -contraction-semigroup on H .

Proposition 5: The (ACP) is well-posed on X .

Proposition 5: The (ACP) is well-posed on X .

Proof:

Proposition 1.2.1.3 : If A is a densely defined operator then the adjoint of A is a closed operator.

Theorem 1.2.2.2, Well-posedness for evolutionary equations :
For a closed operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$, the associated (ACP) is well-posed if and only if A generates a C_0 -semigroup on X

General non local condition

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

$$(N) : \overline{\Phi}^+ \overline{M}^+ \underline{u}(0) + \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{M}^+ \underline{u}(0) + \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{M}^- \underline{u}(1) = \overline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(0) + \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(0) - \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{u}'(1)$$

Where $\overline{M}^+ = (\overline{m}_{ij}^+)_{s \times s}$, $\underline{M}^+ = (\underline{m}_{ij}^+)_{k \times k}$ and $\underline{M}^- = (\underline{m}_{ij}^-)_{k \times k}$ are real matrices.

Statement

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Proposition 6:

Let's suppose that the graph is strongly connected. Then the non-local-Kirchhoff-type condition, i.e

$$(K) : \quad -Mq = \overline{\Phi}^+ \overline{u}'(0) + \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(0) - \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{u}'(1)$$

can be represented in the form of condition (N) .

Statement

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

Proposition 6:

Let's suppose that the graph is strongly connected. Then the non-local-Kirchhoff-type condition, i.e

$$(K) : \quad -Mq = \overline{\Phi}^+ \overline{u}'(0) + \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{u}'(0) - \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{u}'(1)$$

can be represented in the form of condition (N).

Proof: First step: the problem can be reduced to the case when we only have directed edges without any leads.

Second step :

$$[-Mq]_i = \sum_{h=1}^n (-b_{ih}) q_h = \sum_{h=1}^n (-b_{i_{r_h}}) q_{r_h} = \sum_{h=1}^n (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0)$$

Let's suppose for now that every vertex has exactly one outgoing edge. This means that there is only one entry in the i -th row of $\underline{\Phi}^+$ that is equal to 1, let p be the column index for such entry, i.e $\underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ = 1$.

Third step :

$$\begin{aligned} [-Mq]_i &= \sum_{h=1}^n (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0) = \sum_{h=1}^n \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0) \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^n \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h} + \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ \cdot 0 \cdot u_{r_{n+1}}(0) + \dots + \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ \cdot 0 \cdot u_{r_m}(0) \end{aligned}$$

Let's suppose for now that every vertex has exactly one outgoing edge. This means that there is only one entry in the i -th row of $\underline{\Phi}^+$ that is equal to 1, let p be the column index for such entry, i.e $\underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ = 1$.

Third step :

$$[-Mq]_i = \sum_{h=1}^n (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0) = \sum_{h=1}^n \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0)$$

$$= \sum_{h=1}^n \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h} + \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ \cdot 0 \cdot u_{r_{n+1}}(0) + \dots + \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ \cdot 0 \cdot u_{r_m}(0)$$

Let : $(-b_{i_{r_{n+1}}}) = (-b_{i_{r_{n+2}}}) = \dots = (-b_{i_{r_m}}) = 0 \implies [-Mq]_i = \sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0)$

Set $\underline{M}^+ = [m_1^+, \dots, m_m^+]$ where $m_j^+ = [\underline{m}_{1j}^+, \dots, \underline{m}_{mj}^+]^T \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m$

Let $m_p^+ = [\underline{m}_{1p}^+, \dots, \underline{m}_{mp}^+]^T := [(-b_{i_{r_1}}), \dots, (-b_{i_{r_m}})]^T$ and for all j except p define $m_j^+ = [0, \dots, 0]^T$

Then, Since $\underline{\phi}_{ij}^+ = 0 \forall j = 1, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots, m$ we have :

$$[-Mq]_i = \sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ip}^+ (-b_{i_{r_h}}) u_{r_h}(0) = \sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ih}^+ m_{1h}^+ u_{r_1}(0) + \dots + \sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ih}^+ m_{mh}^+ u_{r_m}(0)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ih}^+ m_{1h}^+, \dots, \sum_{h=1}^m \underline{\phi}_{ih}^+ m_{mh}^+ \right] \left[u_{r_1}(0), \dots, u_{r_m}(0) \right]^T = [\underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{M}^+ \underline{u}(0)]_i$$

$$\implies -Mq = \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{M}^+ \underline{u}(0)$$

Now take $\underline{M}^- = 0$ Then we have:

$$\implies -Mq = \underline{\Phi}^+ \underline{M}^+ \underline{u}(0) + \underline{\Phi}^- \underline{M}^- \underline{u}(1)$$

Well-posedness
of the Heat
Equation on
Non-Compact
graph with
non-local-
Kirchhoff-type
condition

Chtiba Reda

Framework

Spaces,
Operators, and
ACP

Well-posedness:
First Method

Well-posedness:
Second method

THANK YOU